MICHAEL, KATHRYN Appeals, Court of

01/31/2024 08:51:08 AM

DOST

Page 1 of 8 Page 1 of 8

COURT3 OF ASPPEARLS OF OHIGH NINTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

Docketing Statement

Anneal No.	

A time-stamped copy of the final judgment being appealed must be attached to this statement.

Trial Court Name - Summit County Common Pleas Court

Trial Court Caption Member Williams, et al. Trial Court Case Number – 2016-CV-09-3928 (Name of first plaintiff)

versus	Trial Court Judge James A. Brogan
Kisling, Nestico & Redick, LLC, et al. (Name of first defendant)	Date of judgment appealed: January 26, 2024 Was the time to appeal extended by App.R. 4(B)? Yes X No
	DESIGNATION
THIS APPEAL SHOULD BE ASSIGNED TO: X Regular Calendar.	
Accelerated Calendar. See Loc.R. 21.	
Expedited Calendar (generally for appeals involving	g termination of parental rights). See App.R. 11.2.
тиг р	ECORD
	raph that applies.
TO THE CLERK OF COURTS: Please immediately at the paragraph I marked accurately describes the complete re-	assemble and transmit the record in this case. I certify that ecord to be filed:
1 The record will consist of ONLY the original entries, and any transcripts of proceedings that	nal papers, exhibits, a certified copy of the docket and were filed in the trial court prior to final judgment.
docket and journal entries, and a full or partial transcr	exhibits filed in the trial court, a certified copy of the ript of proceedings prepared for this appeal by a court h a praecipe that I also filed with this court. If only a R. 9(B).
	and exhibits filed in the trial court and a certified copy he evidence or proceedings pursuant to App.R. 9(C) or 9).
of the docket and journal entries, and both a transcript	and exhibits filed in the trial court and a certified copy of proceedings prepared by a court reporter appointed case pursuant to App.R. 9(C) or (D). If only a partial .
If you intend to rely upon a transcript of proceedings fithe court to supplement the record in this appeal with the	led in an earlier appeal, you must seek permission from ne transcript filed in the earlier appeal.
A time-stamped copy of the final judgment bei	ng appealed must be attached to this statement.

If the order appealed is not final and appealable under R.C. 2505.02, the Court must dismiss the appeal.

CV-2016-09-3928 MICHAEL, KATHRYN CA-31008 Appeals, Court of

DOST

Page 2 of 8 Page 2 of 8

Please provide the following information for all parties to the proceedings in the trial court.

A party who files a notice of appeal is an appellant. A party who would be adversely affected if the judgment below is reversed should be designated as an appellee. All other parties to the action below should retain their trial court designation (plaintiff, defendant, third-party plaintiff, third-party defendant, petitioner, respondent, etc). See Local Rule 3.

If a party was not represented by counsel in the proceedings below, please provide the address and phone number of the party. If there are additional parties and/or attorneys, please copy this page, complete the information for the additional parties, and attach it to this statement. Appellant must attach a copy of any order that resolved a claim against any of the parties.

Party's name: Kisling, Nestico & Redick LLC

Party's designation: Appellant Attorney's name: James M. Popson Attorney's registration number 0072773

Address of counsel or party: Sutter O'Connell,

1301 E. 9th Street, 3600 Erieview Tower,

Cleveland, OH 44114

Phone (216) 928-2200 Fax (216) 928-4400

Email: jpopson@sutter-law.com

Party's name: Alberto R. Nestico

Party's designation: Appellant

Attorney's name: James M. Popson

Attorney's registration number: 0072773

Address of counsel or party: Sutter O'Connell,

1301 E. 9th Street, 3600 Erieview Tower.

Cleveland, OH 44114

Phone (216) 928-2200 Fax: (216) 928-4400

Email: jpopson@sutter-law.com

Party's name: Robert Redick

Party's designation: Appellant

Attorney's name: James M. Popson

Attorney's registration number 0072773

Address of counsel or party: Sutter O'Connell,

1301 E. 9th Street, 3600 Erieview Tower,

Cleveland, OH 44114

Phone (216) 928-2200 Fax (216) 928-4400

Email: jpopson@sutter-law.com

Party's name: Kisling, Nestico & Redick LLC

Party's designation: Appellant

Attorney's name: Thomas P. Mannion

Attorney's registration number: (0062551)

Address of counsel or party: Lewis Brisbois,

1375 E. 9th Street, Suite 2250, Cleveland, OH 44114

Phone (216) 344-9467 Fax (216) 344-9241

Email tom.mannion@lewisbrisbois.com

Party's name: Alberto R. Nestico Party's designation: Appellant

Attorney's name: Thomas P. Mannion

Attorney's registration number: (0062551)

Address of counsel or party: Lewis Brisbois,

1375 E. 9th Street, Suite 2250, Cleveland, OH 44114

Phone (216) 344-9467 Fax (216) 344-9241

Email tom.mannion@lewisbrisbois.com

Party's name: Robert Redick

Party's designation: Appellant

Attorney's name: Thomas P. Mannion

Attorney's registration number: (0062551)

Address of counsel or party: Lewis Brisbois,

1375 E. 9th Street, Suite 2250, Cleveland, OH 44114

Phone (216) 344-9467 Fax (216) 344-9241

Email tom.mannion@lewisbrisbois.com

CV-2016-P9_3928 Party's name: Kisling, Nestico & Redick LLC Appeals, Court of Party's name: Alberto R. Nestico Page 3 of 8 Party's designation: Appellant Party's designation: Appellant Attorney's name: R. Eric Kennedy (0006174) Attorney's name: R. Eric Kennedy (0006174) and Daniel P. Goetz (0065549) and Daniel P. Goetz (0065549) Address of counsel or party: Weisman, Kennedy Address of counsel or party: Weisman, Kennedy & Berris Co., LPA, 101 W. Prospect Avenue, & Berris Co., LPA, 101 W. Prospect Avenue, 1600 Midland Building, Cleveland, OH 44115 1600 Midland Building, Cleveland, OH 44115 Phone (216) 781-1111 Fax: (216) 781-6747 Phone (216) 781-1111 Fax: (216) 781-6747 Email: ekennedy@weismanlaw.com Email: ekennedy@weismanlaw.com and dgoetz@weismanlaw.com and dgoetz@weismanlaw.com Party's name: Robert Redick Party's name: Member Williams Party's designation: Appellant Party's designation: Appellee Attorney's name: R. Eric Kennedy (0006174) Attorney's name: Peter Pattakos (0082884), Zoran Balac (0100501) and Gregory Gipson (0089340) and Daniel P. Goetz (0065549) Address of counsel or party:

Address of counsel or party: Weisman, Kennedy & Berris Co., LPA, 101 W. Prospect Avenue, 1600 Midland Building, Cleveland, OH 44115 Phone (216) 781-1111 Fax: (216) 781-6747 Email: ekennedy@weismanlaw.com

and dgoetz@weismanlaw.com

Party's name: Thera Reid

Email: peter@pattakoslaw.com, zbalac@pattakoslaw.com, and ggipson@pattakoslaw.com Party's name: Monique Norris Party's designation: Appellee

Attorney's name: Peter Pattakos (0082884),

The Pattakos Law Firm LLC, 101 Ghent Road,

Zoran Balac (0100501) and Gregory Gipson (0089340)

Phone (330) 836-8533 Fax: (330) 836-8536

The Pattakos Law Firm LLC, 101 Ghent Road,

Fairlawn, OH 44333

Party's designation: Appellee Attorney's name: Peter Pattakos (0082884), Zoran Balac (0100501) and Gregory Gipson (0089340) Address of counsel or party: The Pattakos Law Firm LLC, 101 Ghent Road, Fairlawn, OH 44333

Email: peter@pattakoslaw.com, zbalac@pattakoslaw.com,

and ggipson@pattakoslaw.com

Phone (330) 836-8533 Fax: (330) 836-8536

Phone (330) 836-8533 Fax: (330) 836-8536 Email: peter@pattakoslaw.com, zbalac@pattakoslaw.com,

and ggipson@pattakoslaw.com

Address of counsel or party:

Fairlawn, OH 44333

CV-2016-09-3928 01/31/2024 08:51 Party's name: Richard Harbour Appeals, Court of Party's name: Sam Ghoubrial, M.D. 01/31/2024 08:51:08 AM DOST Page 4 of 8 Party's designation: Appellee Party's designation: Defendant Attorney's name: Peter Pattakos (0082884), Attorney's name: Bradley J. Barmen Zoran Balac (0100501) and Gregory Gipson (0089340) Attorney's Registration Number: 0076515 Address of counsel or party: Address of counsel or party: Lewis Brisbois, 1375 E. 9th Street, Suite 2250, The Pattakos Law Firm LLC, 101 Ghent Road, Fairlawn, OH 44333 Cleveland, OH 44114 Phone (330) 836-8533 Fax: (330) 836-8536 Phone: (216) 344-9422 Fax: (216) 344-9421 Email: <u>brad.barmen@lewisbrisbois.com</u>: Email: peter@pattakoslaw.com, zbalac@pattakoslaw.com, and ggipson@pattakoslaw.com Party's name: Minas Floros, D.C. Party's designation: Defendant Attorney's name: Shaun H. Kedir Address of counsel or party: Kedir Law Offices, 1400 Rockefeller Building, 614 West Superior Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44113 Phone: (216) 696-2852 Fax: (216) 696-3177 Email: shaunkedir@kedirlaw.com

CV-2016-09-3928 CA-31008 MICHAEL, KATHRYN

Appeals, Court of

01/31/2024 08:51:08 AM 01/31/2024 08:51:08 AM

DOST DOST Page 5 of 8
Page 5 of 8

GENERAL INFORMATION

Was a stay requested in the trial court? $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ Yes \underline{X} No
If a stay was requested, how did the trial court rule? Granted Denied Pending
If this case has previously been before this Court, list prior appellate case number(s): 29630, 29636, 30602 and 30604
List case names and numbers of cases pending in this court that involve the same transaction or controversy involved in this appeal:
Probable issues for appeal: Whether the trial court abused its discretion in certifying a class action.
CRIMINAL CASE
Misdemeanor Felony Trial Guilty/No contest plea
Charges
Sentence
Type of Appeal: Defendant's Appeal as of Right State's Appeal as of Right State's Appeal by Leave of Court State's Appeal by Leave of Court
CIVIL CASE
Type of action in trial court? Legal malpractice, fraud, breach of contract, unjust enrichment
Did the judgment dispose of all claims by and against all parties? Yes X No
If not, is there a determination that there is "no just reason for delay?" Civ.R. 54(B) Yes _X_ No
Have the parties previously participated in mediation of this dispute? X Yes No Would a mediation conference assist in the resolution of this matter? Yes X No Maybe
Must this case be expedited as being one of the following types of cases? Yes \underline{X} No
App.R. 11.2(B) or (C) appeals (abortion without parental consent, adoption, and parental rights)
App.R. 11.2(D) appeals (dependent, abused, neglected, unruly, or delinquent child appeals)
Appeal under determination of local fiscal emergency brought by municipal corporation
Election contests as provided in R.C. 3515.08
I CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND
THAT I HAVE ATTACHED A COPY OF THE FINAL JUDGMENT FROM WHICH THIS APPEAL IS TAKEN.
/s/ James M. Popson (0072773) Signature of Counsel (or party if not represented by counsel)

DOST DOST Page 6 of 8 Page 6 of 8

TAVIA GALONSKI

2024 JAN 26 PH 1: 43

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS COUNTY OF SUMMIT

> CASE NO. CV 2016 09 3928 JUDGE JAMES A. BROGAN (Sitting by Assignment #18JA1214) DECISION

MEMBER WILLIAMS, et al. **Plaintiffs** -vs-KISLING, NESTICO & REDICK, LLC, et al. **Defendants**

The Ninth District Court of Appeals remanded this matter to this Court for the second time. The Court of Appeals held that this Court failed to conduct a "rigorous analysis" of the requirements of Civ.R. 23(B) specifically, the predominance and superiority requirements of the Rule.

In <u>Cope v. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co.</u>, 82 Ohio St. 3d 426, the Ohio Supreme Court held that a class satisfies the predominance requirement when generalized evidence exists to prove or disprove an element on a simultaneous class wide basis, because such proof obviates the need to examine each class members' individual positions. The Supreme Court recognized that when a common fraud is perpetuated on a class of persons, those persons should be able to pursue an avenue of proof that is common to all members and involves standardized procedures by the defendants. See Hamilton v. Ohio Savings Bank (1998) 82 Ohio St. 3d 67 at 77.

Land to the land of the control of t

CA-31008

CV-2016-09-3928

01/31/2024 08:51:08 AM

In this matter, this Court will certify as Class A only those patients and clients of the

defendants who were alleged victims of the price gouging scheme who did not receive a reduction

of their medical bills or fees and were told not to use their health insurance carriers to avoid scrutiny

of these charges and fees. These charges by Ghoubrial were for trigger point injections, TENS units

and back braces.

The fact that some of the patients and clients received more of the procedures or devices

than others should not prevent them being in the same class in this lawsuit.

Judge Henzel stated in Mozingo v. 2007 Gaslight Ohio, LLC (2016) Ohio 4828 the fact that

each of the class members may have a different amount of damages does not automatically make

the class unmanageable and not "superior" to other available methods for adjudication of the

controversy.

For the purposes of this class action, the "necessity" for the medical injections and devices

will be conceded. The Plaintiff will have to prove in the action that the prices for these items would

not be covered by the standard health insurance coverages for these individuals.

The defendants have not asserted in their motion to dismiss that any of the clients or patients

have attempted to start a parallel action or to intervene in one, and it seems unlikely in light of the

relatively small individual recoverys that would be sought.

Thera Reid qualifies as a class representative because she alleged in the complaint that she

was charged unreasonable rates for trigger point injections by Dr. Ghoubrial pursuant to the price

gouging scheme alleged in the complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

JUDØE JAMES A. BROGAN

Sitting by Assignment #18JA1214

Pursuant to Art. IV, Sec. 6

Ohio Constitution

2

DOST DOST Page 8 of 8 Page 8 of 8

The Clerk of Courts shall serve all counsel/parties of record.

JAB:lcb 16-3928remand3